- During my code review I found that my code does completely and correctly implement the design as it was intended to be created.

- The code conforms to coding standards and has minimal warnings when running tests.

- The code is consistent in style as it leverages the same style and formatting.

- When reviewing the code there is an unreachable procedure that is the input is not calling the database table to create an item.

- There are no left-over stubs or test routines in the code.

- When looking for code that could be replaced by external components or library functions, we must consider the codes structure and how it reacts with external libraries. In our inventory application I am unable to identify where we might be able to leverage external components.

- There are no redundant statements or lines of code. However, we do have some unused imports on our database information file that can be removed.

- Since our database currently is inaccessible, we cannot tell if there is enough storage.

- None of our modules are complex and no need to reconstruct them.

-One thing that our code lacks is documentation. The comments and annotation are short but lack clarity on what’s happening in our code. The comments however are consistent with the code.

-Our code is designed to operate without any addition and subtraction methods. We deployed the ability to edit total number of a specific product. However, logic tells us that giving the user the ability to actually click +1 or -1 could enhance our user experience and would require that we add code to make this a functionality.
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